



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

ms

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|

10/518,890

03/01/2005

Hanns-Peter Klockner

RBL0119

5310

832

7590

09/22/2006

BAKER & DANIELS LLP  
111 E. WAYNE STREET  
SUITE 800  
FORT WAYNE, IN 46802

EXAMINER

IQBAL, KHAWAR

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2617

DATE MAILED: 09/22/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

**Application No.**

10/518,890

**Applicant(s)**

KLOCKNER, HANNS-PETER

**Examiner**

Khawar Iqbal

**Art Unit**

2617

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 03 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 July 2006.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 10 and 12-14 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 10, 12-14 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                       | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application                       |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

**DETAILED ACTION**

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 10,12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jean Henry-Labordere (20030013464) and further in view of Frangione et al (20030229534) and Joong et al (6188887).

3. Regarding claim 12 Jean Henry-Labordere teaches a system to handle short messages under phone number portability between multiple telecommunications networks, where the phone numbers do not permit an unambiguous linkage of the user to a specific telecommunication network and where several attempts at delivery of the short messages are possible, and where the parameters or data required for delivery of the short message are determined during the first attempt at delivery, said system comprising (figs. 1-3):

means for determining parameters or data required for the delivery of a short message from a sending entity to a recipient by determining the relevant home register HLR for the recipient and then generating a routing inquiry to the relevant HLR ( para. 0001-0008, 0021-0034);

Art Unit: 2617

the relevant HLR including means for responding to the inquiry by returning parameters or data for delivery (para. 0001-0008, 0021-0034);

means for performing a first attempt at delivery based on the parameters or data required for delivery (para. 0001-0008, 0021-0034);

storage space reserved to store all or part of the parameters or data relating to the first attempt at delivery in a short message service center (SMSC) involved in the transaction, where such storage space is associated with a temporary storage location housing the short message to be delivered, and where the stored parameters or data required for delivery are stored for use at least in part in subsequent attempts at delivery (para. 0001-0008, 0021-0034). Jean Henry-Labordere teaches sending short SMS messages to mobile networks having number portability within the same country that a computer with SS7 connections is used as an **SMSC** relay to relay the short messages sent by an operator A to a subscriber of a network B visiting another network C, the latter having no roaming agreement with network A, by sending the short SMS message with the global title GT of the visited MSC to a companion **SMSC** in a network which does have a roaming agreement with network C, the computer capable of interrogating all the HLRs of the country in which the number portability is operated, the computer having a cache memory for all the mobile subscribers of the country in which the portability is operated so that the computer will know which HLR to interrogate, without searching, once the HLR has been found a first time. The invention may consist of a "Conversion Unit" installed at each operator, which wants to have the MNP feature to send SMS to all his subscribers (regular or port-in) or

Art Unit: 2617

to the others. The Conversion Unit is basically the A-me as the MNP-MSC but does not require a large cache memory. Send a Forward Short Message Mobile Terminated (SMSMT) to the visited MSC which will relay to the Destination Address handset. In case of failure, various classical retry schemes may be used. Jean Henry-Labordere does not specifically teach if the short message is delivered successfully and erasing the short message.

Frangione et al teaches (sport to Jean Henry-Labordere and see Background of the Invention) if the short message was not delivered successfully, performing at least a subsequent attempt at delivery by retrieving the message and store in the SMSC (para. # 0116-0118). Applicant acknowledges (Background of the Invention), "This is handled by various procedures that vary in complexity, but that will eventually yield the needed information, such that it is available after all. The sending entity, which is the HLR in the subscription network of the recipient, also sends his calling party address (CgPty address) as the sender's address. However, this is deleted after each delivery attempt of the SM in all currently known procedures. Thus, the SMSC must address the intended HLR again via a so-called MNP-SRF network element for each subsequent retry, even in those cases where the HLR is in the own PLMN. This procedure has been used to-date prior to MNP and continues to be used after MNP essentially unchanged, which leads to the inefficiency described above" (see para. # 3, Background of the Invention). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Jean Henry-Labordere by specifically adding feature if the short message was not delivered

Art Unit: 2617

successfully, performing at least a subsequent attempt at delivery by retrieving the message and store in the SMSC in order to enhance control the delivering procedure to save the memory space as taught by Frangione et al. Jean Henry-Labordere and does not specifically teach if the short message is delivered successfully, erasing the short message.

In an analogous art, Joong et al teaches if the short message is delivered successfully, erasing the short message (col. 6, lines 12-15). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Jean Henry-Labordere, Frangione et al and (Applicant acknowledges (Background of the Invention)) by specifically adding feature if the short message is delivered successfully and erasing the short message in order to enhance control the delivering procedure to save the memory space as taught by Joong et al.

Regarding claims 13,14 Jean Henry-Labordere teaches method for handling short messages under phone number portability between several communications networks, where the phone numbers do not permit an unambiguous linkage of the user to a specific telecommunication network, comprising the steps of (figs. 1-3):

determining parameters or data required for the delivery of a short message from a sending entity to a recipient by determining the relevant home register HLR for the recipient and then generating a routing inquiry to the relevant HLR (para. 0001-0008, 0021-0034);

the relevant HLR responding to the inquiry by returning parameters or data for delivery (para. 0001-0008, 0021-0034);

performing a first attempt at delivery based on the parameters or data required for delivery (para. 0001-0008, 0021-0034);

temporarily storing in the short message service center SMSC involved in the transaction the short message to be delivered and the parameters or data required for delivery including the HLR address of the relevant home register HLR containing the required parameters or data obtained during the first attempt at delivery (para. 0001-0008, 0021-0034). Jean Henry-Labordere teaches sending short SMS messages to mobile networks having number portability within the same country that a computer with SS7 connections is used as an **SMSC** relay to relay the short messages sent by an operator A to a subscriber of a network B visiting another network C, the latter having no roaming agreement with network A, by sending the short SMS message with the global title GT of the visited MSC to a companion **SMSC** in a network which does have a roaming agreement with network C, the computer capable of interrogating all the HLRs of the country in which the number portability is operated, the computer having a cache memory for all the mobile subscribers of the country in which the portability is operated so that the computer will know which HLR to interrogate, without searching, once the HLR has been found a first time. The invention may consist of a "Conversion Unit" installed at each operator, which wants to have the MNP feature to send SMS to all his subscribers (regular or port-in) or to the others. The Conversion Unit is basically the A-me as the MNP-MSC but does not require a large cache memory. Jean Henry-Labordere does not specifically teach if the short message is delivered successfully, erasing the short message.

In an analogous art, Frangione et al teaches if the short message was not delivered successfully, performing at least a subsequent attempt at delivery by retrieving the message and store in the SMSC (para. # 0116-0118). Applicant acknowledges, "This is handled by various procedures that vary in complexity, but that will eventually yield the needed information, such that it is available after all. The sending entity, which is the HLR in the subscription network of the recipient, also sends his calling party address (CgPty address) as the sender's address. However, this is deleted after each delivery attempt of the SM in all currently known procedures. Thus, the SMSC must address the intended HLR again via a so-called MNP-SRF network element for each subsequent retry, even in those cases where the HLR is in the own PLMN. This procedure has been used to-date prior to MNP and continues to be used after MNP essentially unchanged, which leads to the inefficiency described above" (see para. # 3, Background of the Invention). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Jean Henry-Labordere by specifically adding feature if the short message was not delivered successfully, performing at least a subsequent attempt at delivery by retrieving the message and store in the SMSC in order to enhance control the delivering procedure to save the memory space as taught by Frangione et al. Jean Henry-Labordere and Frangione et al do not specifically teach if the short message is delivered successfully and erasing the short message.

In an analogous art, Joong et al teaches if the short message is delivered successfully and erasing the short message (col. 6, lines 12-15). Therefore, it would



Art Unit: 2617

have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Jean Henry-Labordere and Frangione et al by specifically adding feature if the short message is delivered successfully and erasing the short message in order to enhance control the delivering procedure to save the memory space as taught by Joong et al.

Regarding **claim 10** Jean Henry-Labordere teaches characterized by having the query of HLR use an SS7 channel (para. 0013, 0021-0034).

### ***Response to Arguments***

4. Applicant's arguments filed 7-21-06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant argues on page 5 that Jean Henry-Labordere does not teach storage of the delivery data obtained from the HLR. Examiner respectfully disagrees with this argument. The applicant did not claim the limitation. The applicant further argues on page 6 that Joong's tracking is different from the claimed invention. The examiner again respectfully disagrees with the argument. The applicant silent whether the message is erased from HLR or any other storage. Joong et al disclose for erasing the short message and the parameters and the parameters or data required for delivery when the short message is delivered successfully (col. 4, lines 60-67, col. 6, lines 12-18, message data).

### **Conclusion**

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khawar Iqbal whose telephone number is 571-272-7909.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph H. Feild can be reached on (571) 272-4090. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 2617

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist/customer service whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

*Khawar Iqbal*

  
JOSEPH FEILD  
SUPERVISOR, PATENT EXAMINER